

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.ispid.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/847,055	05/01/2001	Philip Stark	71127/55,223-CIP2	4565
75	90 12/04/2002			
Dike, Bronstein, Roberts, Cushman Intellectual Property Patent Practice EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP			EXAMINER	
			HARAN, JOHN T	
130 Water Street Boston, MA 02109			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
boston, WIA 0.	2107		1733	
			DATE MAR ED 12/04/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/847,055	STARK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John T. Haran	1733				
The MAILING DATE of this communicati n appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replection of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statudent of the period for reply will, by statudent of the period patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01	May 2001 .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) T	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Claim(s) 1-101 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	<del>-</del> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-101</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disappro	oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ol>	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1733

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-24 and 74-82, drawn to a composition for controlled temperature induction heating.
  - II. Claims 25-46, drawn to a method of controlled temperature heating of a thermoplastic material.
  - III. Claims 47-52, drawn to a method for preparing a material for controlled temperature heating of a material containing ferromagnetic particles with magnetic dipoles.
  - IV. Claims 53-68, drawn to an apparatus for heating a thermoplastic material.
  - V. Claims 69-73, drawn to a method of controlling the temperature of a polymeric material.
  - VI. Claims 83-87, drawn to a bonding thermoplastic.
  - VII. Claims 88-93, drawn to an apparatus for heating materials.
  - VIII. Claims 94-96, drawn to a sealable apparatus.
  - IX. Claims 97-101, drawn to a method of making a heating apparatus.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 3. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of

Art Unit: 1733

using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product such as using the composition with a thermosetting matrix and using the composition in a method for controlled temperature heating of a thermoset.

- 4. Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product such as a process wherein the magnetic dipoles are not aligned.
- 5. Inventions I and IV are related as product and apparatus for use. The apparatus is not positively limited to an apparatus for heating thermoplastic material. The apparatus as claimed could be used with a composition or composite having a thermosetting material rather than a thermoplastic material.
- 6. Inventions I and V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product such as a process wherein the polymeric material is not formed.

Art Unit: 1733

7. Inventions VI and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination requires a thermoplastic matrix while the subcombination could have a thermoset matrix. The subcombination has separate utility such as the subcombination could be used in a coating composition or a molding composition.

- 8. Inventions I and VII are related as product and apparatus for use. The composition could be heated in an apparatus that does not include a heating element having a shaped polymeric matrix.
- 9. Inventions I and VIII are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using the product such as using the composition to coat an object or to mold something out of it.
- 10. Inventions I and IX are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially

Art Unit: 1733

different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using the product such as using the composition to coat an object or to bond to substrates together.

- 11. Inventions II and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the subcombination requires the magnetic particles to have aligned dipoles and the combination can have unaligned dipoles. The subcombination has separate utility such as use in a thermosetting material rather than a thermoplastic material.
- 12. Inventions II and IV are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as heating a thermosetting material.
- 13. Inventions II and V are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and

**Art Unit: 1733** 

- (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require forming (shaping) a polymeric material as the subcombination does. The subcombination has separate utility such as using a thermosetting material rather than a thermoplastic material.
- 14. Inventions VI and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the instant case the composition can be used for coating or molding rather than bonding.
- 15. Inventions II and VII are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as heating a thermosetting material.
- 16. Inventions II and VIII and IX are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different functions. Invention I

Art Unit: 1733

is directed to controlled temperature heating of a thermoplastic material. Invention VIII is directed to a sealable apparatus. Invention IX is directed to a heating apparatus.

- 17. Inventions III, IV, V, VI, VII, VIII and IX are unrelated to each. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions each have different functions as noted above in the descriptions of the inventions.
- 18. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 19. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required each group is different, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 20. It is noted that if Group I is elected, a species election is required as follows:

  Species A A composition with ferromagnetic hexagonal ferrite particles

  (Appears directed to claims 1-16).

Species B- A composition with magnetically soft ferrite particles (Appears directed to claims 17-24).

It is noted that claims 74-82 can contain either and which ever species is elected is the only species considered in claims 74-82.

Art Unit: 1733

21. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

- 22. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 23. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 24. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 25. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 1733

26. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected

invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

27. It is noted that rejoinder of claims will be considered upon the discovery of

allowable subject matter and the basis thereof.

28. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to John T. Haran whose telephone number is (703) 305-

0052. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (8 - 5) and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael W. Ball can be reached on (703) 308-2058. The fax phone

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)

872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final

communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0661.

dohn T. Haran

November 12, 2002

Michael W. Ball Supervisory Patent Examiner

Page 9

Technology Center 1700